

From: BRCAC (ECN) <brcac@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, February 21, 2020 10:51 AM
To: ECN, BalboaReservoirCompliance (ECN) <balboareservoircompliance.ecn@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: Prop F, Nuru, Reservoir
Attach: 2020-2-6 Prop F-Chron, Reservoir.docx

From: aj <ajjah@att.net>

Sent: Saturday, February 15, 2020 3:33 AM

To: BRCAC (ECN) <brcac@sfgov.org>; Amy O'Hair <sunnyside.balboa.reservoir@gmail.com>; Michael Ahrens <mikeahrens5@gmail.com>; Maurice Rivers <jumpstreet1983@gmail.com>; bd@brigittedavila.com; rmuehlbauer@live.com; Howard Chung <hnhchung@yahoo.com>; marktang.cac@gmail.com; Christine Godinez <cgodinez@lwhs.org>; Jon Winston <jon.winston.brcac@outlook.com>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>; Hood, Donna (PUC) <DHood@sfgwater.org>; Shaw, Linda (MYR) <lshaw@ccsf.edu>; Shanell Williams <swilliams@ccsf.edu>; Tom Temprano <ttemprano@ccsf.edu>; Brigitte Davila <bdavila@ccsf.edu>; Ivy Lee <ivylee@ccsf.edu>; Alex Randolph <alexrandolph@ccsf.edu>; J. Rizzo <jrizzo@ccsf.edu>; Thea Selby <tselby@ccsf.edu>; studenttrustee@mail.ccsf.edu; Mark Rocha <mrocha@ccsf.edu>; Leslie Milloy <lmilloy@ccsf.edu>; Marian Lam <mlam@ccsf.edu>
Cc: Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Low, Jen (BOS) <jen.low@sfgov.org>; Lam, Jenny (MYR) <jenny.h.lam@sfgov.org>; Joe Fitzgerald Rodriguez <joe@sfmediaco.com>; Laura Waxmann <lwaxmann@sfmediaco.com>; dfacassa@sfchronicle.com; roland.li@sfchronicle.com; jdineen@sfchronicle.com
Subject: Re: Prop F, Nuru, Reservoir

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BRCAC, BOT, Planning Commission, PUC, BOS:

Examiner's Joe Fitzgerald Rodriguez did an analysis of the latest on Nuru.

In view of the latest revelations regarding Mayor Breed's acceptance of a gift from a subordinate and in view of the appointment of developer-friendly Hillis as Planning Director, I wish to resubmit the following submission. Please take in under serious advisement:

On Thursday, February 6, 2020, 03:02:37 PM PST, aj <ajjah@att.net> wrote:

BRCAC, BOT, Planning Commission, PUC, BOS:

DPW's Nuru alerted his superior in the City bureaucracy, City Administrator Naomi Kelly, about the FBI's corruption investigation. By doing so, Nuru took the bullet so that those in higher positions could evade being targeted in the corruption investigation.

Today's 2/6/2020 Chronicle has an article entitled "Suit Seeks to gut SF political ad measure" by Dominic Francasa. The article states: *"A handful of prominent San Francisco political operatives are seeking to gut a ballot measure voters overwhelmingly passed last year that pulls back the curtain on who's paying for campaign advertisements."*

Todd David, a prominent backer of the Balboa Reservoir Project, is quoted in the article opposing Prop F which requires disclosure of financial backers in election campaigns:

"The additional disclosure requirements strike me as being illegal," said Todd David, the group's principal officer and executive director of the Housing Action Coalition, an organization closely aligned with the mayor's office. "I'm very concerned that this limits the ability of campaigns, particularly small campaigns to communicate."

What this Todd David quote really means is that Housing Action Coalition's façade of being representative of the citizenry would be stripped away by disclosure that HAC is financially backed by big money developers. Prop F requirements would show that HAC is in reality a front group that actually represents the interests of big money developers.

From the very beginning of the Balboa Reservoir public engagement process, the Reservoir Project has fundamentally been a done-deal. Planning Dept, PUC, and OEWD have confidently procedurally set up the ducks-in-a row with the CAC process, with the Balboa Park Area TDM, with the Fiscal Responsibility & Feasibility Report, and with the Planning Dept's biased SEIR which takes liberty to misinterpret the Balboa Park Station Program EIR.

The environmental review process requires that Planning Dept provide Responses to Comments (RTC). Planning Dept will publish the RTC's soon to fulfill the requirement. Unfortunately, there appears to be no requirement that Planning Dept provide valid, well-argued, and fact/evidence-based responses. Much of the Planning Dept RTC's consist of mere restatements and re-assertions already contained in the SEIR.

Planning Dept's FEIR (draft SEIR + RTC) fail the adequacy standard required for EIR's.

The corruption that the FBI was looking for via Nuru, likely existed in the behind-closed doors planning for the Reservoir Project by OEWD, Planning, and PUC.

I urge BRCAC, BOT, Planning Commission, PUC, and BOS to stop enabling, or at least question, possible corruption in the Reservoir Project steamroller to privatize public land.

Submitted on 2/6/2020:

Alvin Ja, District 7 resident